



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/527,040 | 03/09/2005 | Daiji Ido | L9289.05106 | 5560 |
| 24257 7590 10/13/2009 Dickinson Wright PLLC James E. Ledbetter, Esq. International Square 1875 Eye Street, NW., Suite 1200 WASHINGTON, DC 20006 | | | | |
| EXAMINER | | | | |
| MAIS, MARK A | | | | |
| ART UNIT | | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| 2467 | | | | |
| MAIL DATE | | DELIVERY MODE | | |
| 10/13/2009 | | PAPER | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | | |
|---|------------------------|--|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/527,040 | | IDO ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | | Art Unit | |
| | MARK MAIS | | 2467 | |

All Participants:

(1) MARK MAIS.

(2) James E. Ledbetter.

Date of Interview: 01 October 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 102(b) and/or 35 USC 103(a)

Claims discussed:

Claims 27 and 31

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/MARK MAIS/
Examiner, Art Unit 2467
Office: 571-272-3138

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner spoke with Applicants' representative, James E. Ledbetter, on 9/22/2009, 9/29/2009, and 10/1/2009 about independent claims 27 and 31. The examiner asked Mr. Ledbetter to forward the examiner's request to Applicants to cancel claims 27 and 31 in an Examiner's Amendment because those particular claims are interpreted as overly-broad. Mr. Ledbetter forwarded a communication to Applicants with the examiner's request. As of this writing, the examiner awaits Applicants' decision before going forward with a non-final rejection of claims 27 and 31.